Indoor PM from residential coal combustion: levels and chemical universidade composition

Estela D. Vicente^{1,2}, A. I. Calvo¹, A. Sánchez de la Campa³, J. de la Rosa³, F. Oduber¹, R. Fraile¹ and C. A. Alves²

CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND MARINE STUDIES

CESAA

¹Department of Physics, University of León, León, 24071, Spain ²Department of Environment and Planning, CESAM, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, 3810–193, Portugal ³Associate Unit CSIC-University of Huelva "Atmospheric Pollution", CIQSO, University of Huelva, Huelva, E21071, Spain

Keywords: coal combustion, indoor air quality, PM_{10} , PM-bound constituents.

Presenting author email: rfral@unileon.es

Introduction

Coal is still the dominant fuel contributing to the PM₂₅ disease burden in several countries (McDuffie et al., 2021). Even though the greatest health losses caused by solid fuels combustion were recorded in Eastern and South-eastern Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (IEA, IRENA, UNSD, World Bank 2022), coal combustion is still used as a source of heating in several European countries (Kerimray et al., 2017). Thus, considering that quantitative estimates of residential coal combustion for indoor air pollution levels in European countries are limited so far, this study intends to assess household PM levels in a household that relies on coal for wintertime heating. Additionally, the chemical characteristics of PM samples will be evaluated.



Z O Indoor and Outdoor \bigcirc PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₄ and PM₁₀ (laser photometric instrument)













REFERENCES

IEA, IRENA, UNSD, World Bank W (2022) Tracking SDG 7: The Energy Progress Report. Washington DC

Kerimray A, Rojas-solórzano L, Amouei M, et al. (2017) Energy Sustain. Dev. 40, 19–30.

McDuffie EE, Martin R V., Spadaro J V., et al. (2021) Nat. Commun. 12, 1–12.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Junta de Castilla y Leon co-financed with European FEDER funds (Grant LE025P20) and by the AEROHEALTH project (Ministry of Science and Innovation, co-financed with European FEDER funds, grant PID2019-106164RBI00). This research has also been supported by the RADIATE project under the Grant Agreement 824096 from the EU Research and Innovation programme HORIZON 2020. Furthermore, it is part of the project TED2021-132292B-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by the European Union "NextGenerationEU"/PRTR.

CONCLUSIONS:

- The study included simultaneous measurements inside and outside, covering several days in which the combustion conditions were tried to be replicated, and also measurements of the background air in the absence of the source.
- Indoor PM₁₀ concentrations increased 1.6 to 8.8 times compared to background air. Daily variability in indoor PM₁₀ levels was associated not only with chimney draught, but also with coal burnt.
 - The mean PM_{10} I/O ratio was 2.1 \pm 1.3 and 0.78 \pm 0.06, during coal combustion experiments and background measurements, respectively, confirming the relevance of coal emissions to levels measured indoors.
- The ignition and initial preheating of the stove, as well as fuel refills, generated high PM peaks indoors.
- Indoors, during coal combustion, PM_{10} mass was mainly composed of carbonaceous particles, accounting for 20.7 29.8 wt. %. Water-soluble ions represented a small fraction of the PM_{10} mass (5.90 ± 3.70 wt. %) and were dominated by sulphate and nitrate. The indoor concentrations of arsenic, was more than three times higher than those of the background air. Additionally, the indoor concentrations of other toxic and carcinogenic species (such as Pb and Cd) also showed an increase during coal combustion.